

Organization			
Project Name			
CoC Model/Component			
Populations Served			
Date of Review			
Reviewer			
THRESHOLD CRITERIA			
Criteria	Eligible	Ineligible	
Eligible entity	Nonprofits, States, local govns, instrumentalities of State/ local gov, and public housing authorities.	Any entity that does not meet criteria identified in earlier column.	
Eligible population	Meets HUD requirements	Does NOT meet HUD requirements	
Date of Project App	Application is complete and includes all required attachments and is submitted to CoC coordinator before the deadline.	Application is incomplete, does not include all required attachments and/or is submitted to CoC coordinator after the deadline.	
HMIS	Project has capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers)	Project does not have capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers)	
Match	25% match for everything but leasing.	No required match.	
CoC Participation	A representative from the applicant agency attends at least 50% of CoC Governing Board meetings (IF NEW APPLICANT, applicant agrees to these expectations)	Applicant agency attends less than 50% of CoC Governing Board meetings (IF NEW APPLICANT, applicant DOES NOT agree to these expectations)	

CES	Applicant participates in or agrees to participate in the NE CoC Coordinated Entry System, including attending training, completing CES assessments and receiving referral through Coordinated Entry as outlined in the NE CoC Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures (IF NEW APPLICANT, applicant agrees to these expectations)	Applicant does not agree to participate in the NE CoC Coordinated Entry System (IF NEW APPLICANT, applicant DOES NOT agree to these expectations)		**The Ranking Committee will seek to review CES data to determine level of participation in CES for the 2020 NOFA.**
HUD Monitoring	HUD Monitoring Report is provided as applicable and no unresolved significant findings are identified.	HUD Monitoring Report is not provided (if applicable) or contains unresolved significant findings that should preclude applicant from inclusion.		
Admin	Admin costs less than 7%	Admin costs greater than 7%.		

EVALUATION AND RANKING STANDARDS

CRITERIA FOUND IN APPLICATION

Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Possible Points	
Chronic Homeless	75% of CoC-funded units are designated to serve chronically homeless individuals	50-75% of CoC-funded units are designated to serve chronically homeless individuals	This project does not designate units to serve chronically homeless individuals	4/2/0	
Veterans	50% or more of CoC-funded units or services are for veterans	20-49% of CoC-funded units or services are for veterans	<20% of CoC-funded units or services are for veterans	4/2/0	

Housing First	Project scores at least 12 out of 15 on the housing first assessment.	Project scores 10 or 11 out of 15 on the housing first assessment.	Project scores below 10 out of 15 on the housing first assessment.	8/4/0	
Rapid Rehousing	Project will provide rapid re-housing for families and singles.		Project does not provide rapid rehousing for families and singles.	4/2/0	
CRITERIA SPECIFIC TO SERVING YOUTH, FAMILY & CHILDREN					
Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Possible Points	Data Source
Ending Family Homelessness	75 – 100% of CoC funded units or services are for families	50-74% of CoC funded units or services are for families	0-49% of CoC funded units or services are for families	4/2/0	
Ending Youth Homelessness	75 – 100% of CoC funded units or services are designated for youth households	50-74% of CoC funded units or services are designated for youth households	0-49% of CoC funded units or services are designated for youth households	4/2/0	
Connection to K-12 education	Exceeds HUD Requirement	Meets HUD Requirement	Does not meet HUD Requirement	4/2/0	Criteria is the same as previous years. A Households w/ Children Supplementary Assessment is new to this year’s NE CoC project application process to better identify if projects are meeting/exceeding HUD requirements.
Early Childhood Development	Exceeds HUD Requirement	Meets HUD Requirement	Does not meet HUD Requirement	4/2/0	Criteria is the same as previous years A Households w/ Children Supplementary Assessment is new to this year’s NE CoC project application process to

					better identify if projects are meeting/exceeding HUD requirements.
GRANT ADMINISTRATIVE PERFORMANCE					
Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Possible Points	NOTES
Bed Utilization	More than 90% of project beds	85-90% of project beds	Less than 85% of project beds.	8/4/0	Target set by Performance & Evaluation Committee and approved by Governing Board.
Funding management: unspent funds	Spent 95% or more of grant award.	Spent 90-94% of grant award.	Spent 89% or less of grant award.	8/4/0	
Funding management: drawdowns	Grantee has had at least quarterly (4+)		Grantee has had less than quarterly (4+)	4/2/0	
Data Quality	Less than 8% average data errors for Relationship to HoH, Client Location, Disabling Condition, and Destination at Exit	8-11% average data errors for Relationship to HoH, Client Location, Disabling Condition, and Destination at Exit	Average of 12% or more data errors for Relationship to HoH, Client Location, Disabling Condition, and Destination at Exit	8/4/0	DQ Targets set by Performance & Evaluation Committee
NEW MEASURES					
Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Possible Points	NOTES
Cost Effectiveness (cost/bed)	PSH - less than \$9,000/bed RRH - Less than \$6,500/bed TH - less than \$8,000/bed	PSH - \$9,001-\$11,000/bed RRH - \$6,501-\$9,000/bed TH - \$8,001-\$10,000/bed	PSH - more than \$11,000/bed RRH - more than \$9,000/bed TH - more than \$10,000/bed	0/0/0	*For 2020 NOFA*

Cost Effectiveness (successful exit/HH)	PSH - less than \$12,000/HH for singles and \$16,000/HH for families (successful exit AND retention) RRH - Less than \$8,000/HH for singles and \$10,000 for families TH - less than \$11,000/HH for singles and \$15,000 for families	PSH - \$12,001-\$14,000/HH for singles and \$16,001-\$17,500/HH for families (successful exit AND retention) RRH - Less than \$8,001-\$11,000/HH for singles and \$10,001-\$12,000 for families TH - less than \$11,001-\$13,000/HH for singles and \$15,001-\$17,000 for families	PSH - more than \$14,000/HH for singles and \$17,500/HH for families (successful exit AND retention) RRH - Less than \$11,000/HH for singles and \$12,000 for families TH - less than \$13,000/HH for singles and \$17,000 for families	0/0/0	*For 2020 NOFA*
Domestic Violence (experience)	Project has at least 5 years experience specifically serving DV/SA survivors	Project has 1-4 years experience specifically serving DV/SA survivors	Project has less than 1 year experience specifically serving DV/SA survivors	0/0/0	*For 2020 NOFA*
Domestic Violence (specialized services)	Project utilizes specialized services model specifically tailored to DV/SA survivors		Project does not utilize specialized services model specifically tailored to DV/SA survivors	0/0/0	*For 2020 NOFA*
PERFORMANCE MEASURES					
Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Possible Points	NOTES
Returns to Homelessness (12 months)	Less than 5% of participants returned to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	5-9% of participants returned to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10% or more of participants returned to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	8/4/0	Target set by Performance & Evaluation Committee and approved by Governing Board

Earned Income-- Increase	10% or more increase for PSH, 20% or more for TH, RRH	5-9% for PSH, 15-19% for TH, RRH	Less than 5% for PSH, Less than 15% for TH/RRH	2/1/0	Target set by Performance & Evaluation Committee and approved by Governing Board. Income categories = 2 points per each category (2 X 4 = 8 points total for income)
Earned Income-- Maintain/Increase	20% or more for PSH, 50% or more for TH, RRH	10-19% for PSH, 40-49% for TH, RRH	Less than 10% for PSH, Less than 40% for TH/RRH	2/1/0	Target set by Performance & Evaluation Committee and approved by Governing Board. Income categories = 2 points per each category (2 X 4 = 8 points total for income)
Non-Employment Income--Maintain/ Increase	75% or more for PSH, 50% or more for RRH, 10% or more for TH	50-74% for PSH, 40-49% for RRH, 5-9% for TH	Less than 50% for PSH, Less than 40% for RRH, Less than 5% for TH	2/1/0	Target set by Performance & Evaluation Committee and approved by Governing Board. Income categories = 2 points per each category (2 X 4 = 8 points total for income)
Increase Overall Income	30% or more	20-29%	Less than 20%	2/1/0	Target set by Performance & Evaluation Committee and approved by Governing Board. Income categories = 2 points per each category (2 X 4 = 8 points total for income)
Housing Stability (Retention)—stay more than 12 months (PSH ONLY)	Over 90%	85-90%	Under 85%	8/4/0	Target set by Performance & Evaluation Committee and approved by Governing Board
Exits to permanent housing	More than 90% of participants exited the program to permanent destinations.	81-90% of participants exited the program to permanent destinations.	80% or less of participants exited the program to permanent destinations.	8/4/0	Target set by Performance & Evaluation Committee and approved by Governing Board