|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Organization** | |  | | | | |
| **Project Name** | |  | | | | |
| **CoC Model/Component** | |  | | | | |
| **Populations Served** | |  | | | | |
| **Date of Review** | |  | | | | |
| **Reviewer** | |  | | | | |
|  | | | | | | |
| **THRESHOLD CRITERIA** | | | | | | |
| **Criteria** | **Eligible** | | **Ineligible** | |  |  |
| Eligible entity | Nonprofits, States, local govs, instrumentalities of State/ local gov, and public housing authorities. | | Any entity that does not meet criteria identified in earlier column. | |  |  |
| Eligible population | Meets HUD requirements | | Does NOT meet HUD requirements | |  |  |
| Date of Project App | Application is complete and includes all required attachments and is submitted to CoC coordinator before the deadline. | | Application is incomplete, does not include all required attachments and/or is submitted to CoC coordinator after the deadline. | |  |  |
| HMIS | Project has capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers) | | Project does not have capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers) | |  |  |
| Match | 25% match for everything but leasing. | | No required match. | |  |  |
| CoC Participation | A representative from the applicant agency attends at least 50% of CoC Governing Board meetings (IF NEW APPLICANT, applicant agrees to these expectations) | | Applicant agency attends less than 50% of CoC Governing Board meetings (IF NEW APPLICANT, applicant DOES NOT agree to these expectations) | |  |  |
| CES | Applicant participates in the NE CoC Coordinated Entry System or equivalent system, including attending training, completing CES assessments and receiving referrals through Coordinated Entry as outlined in the NE CoC Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures (IF NEW APPLICANT, applicant agrees to these expectations) | | Applicant does not agree to participate in the NE CoC Coordinated Entry System (IF NEW APPLICANT, applicant DOES NOT agree to these expectations) | |  |  |
| HUD Monitoring | HUD Monitoring Report is provided as applicable and no unresolved significant findings are identified. | | HUD Monitoring Report is not provided (if applicable) or contains unresolved significant findings that should preclude applicant from inclusion. | |  |  |
| Admin | Admin costs less than 7% | | Admin costs greater than 7%. | |  |  |
|  | | | | | | |
| **EVALUATION AND RANKING STANDARDS** | | | | | | |
| **CRITERIA FOUND IN APPLICATION** | | | | | | |
| **Criterion** | **Most Desirable** | **Desirable** | **Least Desirable** | **Possible Points** |  | |
| Chronic Homeless (PSH only) | 75% of CoC-funded units are designated to serve chronically homeless individuals | 50-75% of CoC-funded units are designated to serve chronically homeless individuals | This project does not designate units to serve chronically homeless individuals | **4/2/0** |  | |
| Veterans | 50% or more of CoC-funded units or services are for veterans | 20-49% of CoC-funded units or services are for veterans | <20% of CoC-funded units or services are for veterans | **2/1/0** |  | |
| Housing First | Project scores at least 12 out of 15 on the housing first assessment. | Project scores 10 or 11 out of 15 on the housing first assessment. | Project scores below 10 out of 15 on the housing first assessment. | **4/2/0** |  | |
| Housing First |  |  |  | **2/1/0** |  | |
| Rapid Rehousing | Project will provide rapid re-housing for families and singles. |  | Project does not provide rapid rehousing for families and singles. | **4/2/0** |  | |
| **CRITERIA SPECIFIC TO SERVING YOUTH, FAMILY & CHILDREN** | | | | | | |
| **Criterion** | **Most Desirable** | **Desirable** | **Least Desirable** | **Possible Points** | **Data Source** | |
| Ending Family Homelessness | 75 – 100% of CoC funded units or services are for families | 50-74% of CoC funded units or services are for families | 0-49% of CoC funded units or services are for families | **4/2/0** |  | |
| Ending Youth Homelessness | 75 – 100% of CoC funded units or services are designated for youth households | 50-74% of CoC funded units or services are designated for youth households | 0-49% of CoC funded units or services are designated for youth households | **4/2/0** |  | |
| Connection to K-12 education | Exceeds HUD Requirement | Meets HUD Requirement | Does not meet HUD Requirement | **4/2/0** | Households w/ Children Supplementary Assessment | |
| Early Childhood Development | Exceeds HUD Requirement | Meets HUD Requirement | Does not meet HUD Requirement | **4/2/0** | Households w/ Children Supplementary Assessment | |
| **GRANT ADMINISTRATIVE PERFORMANCE** | | | | | | |
| **Criterion** | **Most Desirable** | **Desirable** | **Least Desirable** | **Possible Points** | **NOTES** | |
| Bed Utilization | More than 90% of project beds | 85-90% of project beds | Less than 85% of project beds. | **8/4/0** | Target set by Performance & Evaluation Committee and approved by Governing Board. | |
| Funding management: unspent funds | Spent 95% or more of grant award. | Spent 90-94% of grant award. | Spent 89% or less of grant award. | **4/2/0** |  | |
| Funding management: drawdowns | Grantee has had at least quarterly (4+) |  | Grantee has had less than quarterly (4+) | **4/0** |  | |
| Data Quality – Completeness | 95% or higher data quality for Data Completeness | 90-94% data quality for Data Completeness | Less than 90% data quality for Data Completeness | **2/1/0** | DQ Targets set by Performance & Evaluation Committee | |
| Data Quality – Consistency | 95% or higher data quality for Data Consistency | 90-94% data quality for Data Consistency | Less than 90% data quality for Data Consistency | **2/1/0** |  | |
| Data Quality – Timeliness | 50% or higher data quality for Data Timeliness | 45-49% data quality for Data Timeliness | Less than 45% data quality for Data Timeliness | **2/1/0** |  | |
| **NEW MEASURES** | | | | | | |
| **Criterion** | **Most Desirable** | **Desirable** | **Least Desirable** | **Possible Points** | **NOTES** | |
| Cost Effectiveness (cost/bed) | PSH - less than $9,000/bed RRH - Less than $6,500/bed TH - less than $8,000/bed | PSH - $9,001-$11,000/bed RRH - $6,501-$9,000/bed TH - $8,001-$10,000/bed | PSH - more than $11,000/bed RRH - more than $9,000/bed TH - more than $10,000/bed | **0/0/0** | \*For 2020 NOFA\* | |
| Cost Effectiveness (successful exit/HH) | PSH - less than $12,000/HH for singles and $16,000/HH for families (successful exit AND retention) RRH - Less than $8,000/HH for singles and $10,000 for families TH - less than $11,000/HH for singles and $15,000 for families | PSH - $12,001-$14,000/HH for singles and $16,001-$17,500/HH for families (successful exit AND retention) RRH - Less than $8,001-$11,000/HH for singles and $10,001-$12,000 for families TH - less than $11,001-$13,000/HH for singles and $15,001-$17,000 for families | PSH - more than $14,000/HH for singles and $17,500/HH for families (successful exit AND retention) RRH - Less than $11,000/HH for singles and $12,000 for families TH - less than $13,000/HH for singles and $17,000 for families | **0/0/0** | \*For 2020 NOFA\* | |
| Domestic Violence (experience)  DV Bonus Projects Only | Project has at least 5 years experience specifically serving DV/SA survivors | Project has 1-4 years experience specifically serving DV/SA survivors | Project has less than 1 year experience specifically serving DV/SA survivors | **0/0/0** | DV Bonus Narrative Questions | |
| Domestic Violence (specialized services)  DV Bonus Projects Only | Project utilizes specialized services model specifically tailored to DV/SA survivors |  | Project does not utilize specialized services model specifically tailored to DV/SA survivors | **0/0/0** | DV Bonus Narrative Questions | |
| Participation in Coordinated Entry – Agency completes Coordinated Entry assessments. | Agency completes Coordinated Entry assessments for the NE CoC |  | Agency does not complete Coordinated Entry Assessments for the NE CoC | **2/1/0** | NEW in 2021  Response on supplemental questions document | |
| Addressing inequities in access to housing | Agency has action steps identified and is currently working to address inequities to accessing housing | Agency has plan to address inequities to accessing housing but actions steps have not yet been implemented | Agency does not have plan or existing plan is not sufficient to address inequities in accessing housing | **2/1/0** | Supplemental questions | |
| **PERFORMANCE MEASURES** | | | | | | |
| **Criterion** | **Most Desirable** | **Desirable** | **Least Desirable** | **Possible Points** | **NOTES** | |
| Returns to Homelessness (12 months) | Less than 5% of participants returned to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | 5-9% of participants returned to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | 10% or more of participants returned to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | **8/4/0** | Target set by Performance & Evaluation Committee and approved by Governing Board | |
| ~~Earned Income--Increase~~ | ~~10% or more increase for PSH, 20% or more for TH, RRH~~ | ~~5-9% for PSH, 15-19% for TH, RRH~~ | ~~Less than 5% for PSH, Less than 15% for TH/RRH~~ | **~~2/1/0~~** | ~~Target set by Performance & Evaluation Committee and approved by Governing Board. Income categories = 2 points per each category (2 X 4 = 8 points total for income)~~ | |
| Earned Income--Maintain/Increase | 20% or more for PSH, 50% or more for TH, RRH | 10-19% for PSH, 40-49% for TH, RRH | Less than 10% for PSH, Less than 40% for TH/RRH | **2/1/0** | Target set by Performance & Evaluation Committee and approved by Governing Board. Income categories = 2 points per each category (2 X 4 = 8 points total for income) | |
| Non-Employment Income--Maintain/ Increase | 75% or more for PSH, 50% or more for RRH, 10% or more for TH | 50-74% for PSH, 40-49% for RRH, 5-9% for TH | Less than 50% for PSH, Less than 40% for RRH, Less than 5% for TH | **2/1/0** | Target set by Performance & Evaluation Committee and approved by Governing Board. Income categories = 2 points per each category (2 X 4 = 8 points total for income) | |
| ~~Increase Overall Income~~ | ~~30% or more~~ | ~~20-29%~~ | ~~Less than 20%~~ | **~~2/1/0~~** | ~~Target set by Performance & Evaluation Committee and approved by Governing Board. Income categories = 2 points per each category (2 X 4 = 8 points total for income)~~ | |
| Housing Stability (Retention)—stay more than 12 months (PSH ONLY) | Over 90% | 85-90% | Under 85% | **8/4/0** | Target set by Performance & Evaluation Committee and approved by Governing Board | |
| Exits to permanent housing | More than 90% of participants exited the program to permanent destinations. | 81-90% of participants exited the program to permanent destinations. | 80% or less of participants exited the program to permanent destinations. | **8/4/0** | Target set by Performance & Evaluation Committee and approved by Governing Board | |