

Organization			
Project Name			
CoC Model/Component			
Populations Served			
Date of Review			
Reviewer			
THRESHOLD CRITERIA			
CRITERIA	ELIGIBLE	INELIGIBLE	DATA SOURCE
Eligible entity	Meets HUD requirements as outlined in the NOFO	Does NOT meet HUD requirements outlined in the NOFO	Project Application (ESNAPS)
Eligible population	Meets HUD requirements	Does NOT meet HUD requirements	Project Application (ESNAPS)
Date of Project App	Application is complete and includes all required attachments and is submitted to CoC Coordinator before the deadline.	Application is incomplete, does not include all required attachments and/or is submitted to CoC coordinator after the deadline.	Project Application (ESNAPS), Supplemental Application
HMIS	Project has capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers)	Project does not have capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers)	Project Intent to Apply
Match	Meets HUD requirement of 25% match for everything but leasing.	Does not meet HUD’s match requirement of 25% match for everything but leasing.	Project Intent to Apply

CoC Participation	A representative from the applicant agency attended at least 50% of CoC Governing Board meetings from July 1, 2022 – June 30, 2023. (IF NEW APPLICANT, applicant agrees to these expectations)	A representative from the applicant agency attended less than 50% of CoC Governing Board meetings from July 1, 2022 – June 30, 2023 (IF NEW APPLICANT, applicant DOES NOT agree to these expectations)	CoC Board Meeting Minutes; Supplemental Application
CES	Applicant participates in the NE CoC Coordinated Entry System or equivalent system approved by the CoC, including attending training, completing CES assessments and receiving referrals through Coordinated Entry as outlined in the NE CoC Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures (IF NEW APPLICANT, applicant agrees to these expectations)	Applicant does not participate in the NE CoC Coordinated Entry System (IF NEW APPLICANT, applicant DOES NOT agree to these expectations)	Supplemental Application; HMIS CES Monitoring Report
HUD Monitoring	HUD Monitoring Report is provided as applicable, and no unresolved significant findings are identified or applicant provides proof of active coordination with HUD Field Office to correct unresolved significant findings.	HUD Monitoring Report is not provided (if applicable) or contains unresolved significant findings that should preclude applicant from inclusion.	Supplemental Application
Admin	Meets HUD requirements	Does NOT meet HUD requirements	Project Application (ESNAPS)
CoC Annual Training	Applicant assures that CoC program staff will complete mandatory annual training including Fair Housing/Equal Access, Mainstream Benefits 101, and Safety & Best Practices for DV Survivors	Applicant does not assure that CoC program staff will complete mandatory CoC annual training.	Supplemental Application
APR Submitted for Previous Grant Year (Renewals)	Applicant submitted an APR in Sage for the most recent completed grant year.	Applicant did NOT submit APR in Sage for the most recent completed grant year.	Project Intent to Apply/SAGE

EVALUATION AND RANKING STANDARDS					
CRITERIA FOUND IN APPLICATION					
CRITERION	MOST DESIRABLE	DESIRABLE	LEAST DESIRABLE	POSSIBLE POINTS	DATA SOURCE
Chronic Homeless (PSH only)	75% of CoC-funded units are designated to serve chronically homeless individuals	50-75% of CoC-funded units are designated to serve chronically homeless individuals	This project does not designate units to serve chronically homeless individuals	2/1/0	Project Application (ESNAPS)
Veterans	50% or more of CoC-funded units or services are for veterans		<20% of CoC-funded units or services are for veterans	1/0	Project Application (ESNAPS)
Housing First	Project scores at least 12 on the housing first assessment.	Project scores 10 or 11 on the housing first assessment.	Project scores below 10 on the housing first assessment.	4/2/0	Supplemental Application: Housing First Assessment
Rapid Rehousing	Project will provide rapid re-housing for families and singles.		Project does not provide rapid rehousing for families and singles.	2/0	Project Application (ESNAPS)
CRITERIA SPECIFIC TO SERVING YOUTH, FAMILY & CHILDREN					
CRITERION	MOST DESIRABLE	DESIRABLE	LEAST DESIRABLE	POSSIBLE POINTS	DATA SOURCE
Ending Family Homelessness	75 – 100% of CoC funded units or services are for families	50-74% of CoC funded units or services are for families	0-49% of CoC funded units or services are for families	2/1/0	Project Application (ESNAPS)

Ending Youth Homelessness	75 – 100% of CoC funded units or services are designated for youth households	50-74% of CoC funded units or services are designated for youth households	0-49% of CoC funded units or services are designated for youth households	2/1/0	Project Application (ESNAPS)
Connection to K-12 education (Applies to projects who serve households w/ children and unaccompanied youth)	Exceeds HUD Requirement	Meets HUD Requirement	Does not meet HUD Requirement	2/1/0	Households w/ Children Supplementary Assessment
Early Childhood Development (Applies to projects who serve households w/ children and unaccompanied youth)	Exceeds HUD Requirement	Meets HUD Requirement	Does not meet HUD Requirement	2/1/0	Households w/ Children Supplementary Assessment

GRANT ADMINISTRATIVE PERFORMANCE					
CRITERION	MOST DESIRABLE	DESIRABLE	LEAST DESIRABLE	POSSIBLE POINTS	DATA SOURCE
Funding management: unspent funds	Spent 95% or more of grant award.	Spent 90-94% of grant award.	Spent 89% or less of grant award.	4/2/0	Financial Assessment Form
Funding management: drawdowns	Grantee has had at least quarterly (4+)		Grantee has had less than quarterly (4+)	4/0	Financial Assessment Form

Data Quality – Completeness	92% or higher data quality for Data Completeness	88-91% data quality for Data Completeness	Less than 88% data quality for Data Completeness	2/1/0	HMIS Data Quality Report
Data Quality – Consistency	92% or higher data quality for Data Consistency	88-91% data quality for Data Consistency	Less than 88% data quality for Data Consistency	2/1/0	HMIS Data Quality Report
Data Quality – Timeliness	50% or higher data quality for Data Timeliness	45-49% data quality for Data Timeliness	Less than 45% data quality for Data Timeliness	2/1/0	HMIS Data Quality Report

COORDINATED ENTRY PARTICIPATION

Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Possible Points	Data Source
Participation in Coordinated Entry – Agency completes Coordinated Entry assessments.	Agency has completed 20+ Coordinated Entry assessments in the NE CoC from 7/1/22 – 6/30/23	Agency has completed 11-20 Coordinated Entry assessments in the NE CoC from 7/1/22 – 6/30/23	Agency has completed less than 10 Coordinated Entry assessments in the NE CoC from 7/1/22 – 6/30/23	2/1/0	HMIS Coordinated Entry Data
Participation in Coordinated Entry – Program staff participate in CE Case Consultation Meetings	Program staff attended 75% or more of CE case consultation meetings from 7/1/22 – 6/30/23	Program staff attended 50-74% of CE case consultation meetings from 7/1/22 – 6/30/23	Program staff attended less than 50% of CE case consultation meetings from 7/1/22 – 6/30/23	2/1/0	Supplemental Application & Meeting Attendance

ADVANCING EQUITY

Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Possible Points	Data Source
------------------	-----------------------	------------------	------------------------	------------------------	--------------------

Addressing inequities in access to housing	Agency has action steps identified and is currently working to address inequities to accessing housing	Agency has plan to address inequities to accessing housing but actions steps have not yet been implemented	Agency does not have plan or existing plan is not sufficient to address inequities in accessing housing	2/1/0	Supplemental Questions
Culturally Specific/Responsive Programming	Project provides culturally specific/responsive programming	Project has plans to provide culturally specific/responsive programming	Project does not provide culturally specific/responsive programming	2/1/0	Supplemental Questions
Service Population is Reflective of Population Experiencing Homelessness	At least 30% of project participants are Persons of African Heritage, Persons who are Black, Indigenous or Persons of Color	10 – 29% of project participants are Persons of African Heritage, Persons who are Black, Indigenous or Persons of Color	Less than 10% of project participants are Persons of African Heritage, Persons who are Black, Indigenous or Persons of Color	2/1/0	Project APR (Demographics of participants in project APR compared to demographic data from the NE CoC CORE Report)
PERFORMANCE MEASURES (RENEWAL PROJECTS ONLY)					
CRITERION	MOST DESIRABLE	DESIRABLE	LEAST DESIRABLE	POSSIBLE POINTS	DATA SOURCE
Returns to Homelessness (12 months)	Less than 5% of participants returned to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	5-9% of participants returned to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10% or more of participants returned to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	8/4/0	HMIS Returns to Homelessness Report
Returns to Homelessness: Projects who attained less than maximum points are eligible to receive 1 point if performance improved by 20% compared to previous year.				1	HMIS NOFO Scoring Report
Earned Income-- Maintain/Increase	20% or more for PSH, 50% or more for TH, RRH	10-19% for PSH, 40-49% for TH, RRH	Less than 10% for PSH, Less than 40% for TH/RRH	4/2/0	HMIS NOFO Scoring Report

Earned Income: Projects who attained less than maximum points are eligible to receive 1 point if performance improved by 20% compared to previous year.				1	HMIS NOFO Scoring Report
Non-Employment Income--Maintain/ Increase	75% or more for PSH, 50% or more for RRH, 10% or more for TH	50-74% for PSH, 40-49% for RRH, 9% for TH	5- Less than 50% for PSH, Less than 40% for RRH, Less than 5% for TH	4/2/0	HMIS NOFO Scoring Report
Non-Employment Income: Projects who attained less than maximum points are eligible to receive 1 point if performance improved by 20% compared to previous year.				1	HMIS NOFO Scoring Report
Non-Cash Benefits	75% of project participants have at least 1 non-cash benefit	60-74% of participants have at least 1 non-cash benefit	Less than 60% of participants have at least 1 non-cash benefit	4/2/0	Project APR
Non-Cash Benefits: Projects who attained less than maximum points are eligible to receive 1 point if performance improved by 20% compared to previous year.				1	Project APR
Housing Stability (Retention)—Retain PSH or Exit to Permanent Housing (PSH ONLY)	More than 80% of participants remained in PSH or exit to permanent destinations.	75-80% of participants remained in PSH or exit to permanent destinations.	Under 75% of participants remained in PSH or exit to permanent destinations.	8/4/0	HMIS NOFO Scoring Report
Retention/Exit to PH (PSH): Projects who attained less than maximum points are eligible to receive 1 point if performance improved by 20% compared to previous year.				1	HMIS NOFO Scoring Report
Exits to permanent housing (TH, RRH, TH/RRH)	More than 90% of participants exited the program to permanent destinations.	81-90% of participants exited the program to permanent destinations.	80% or less of participants exited the program to permanent destinations.	8/4/0	HMIS NOFO Scoring Report
Exits to PH (TH,RRH,TH/RRH): Projects who attained less than maximum points are eligible to receive 1 point if performance improved by 20% compared to previous year.				1	HMIS NOFO Scoring Report

CRITERIA SPECIFIC TO NEW PROJECTS					
DV Bonus Projects					
Domestic Violence (experience) Rate of Housing Placement and Rate of Housing Retention DV Bonus Projects Only	Project Permanent Housing Placement rate is 90% or higher	Project Permanent Housing Placement rate is between 80-89%	Project Permanent Housing Placement rate is below 80%	8/4/0	DV Bonus Supplemental Questions
Domestic Violence (experience) DV Bonus Projects Only	Project has at least 5 years experience specifically serving DV/SA survivors	Project has 1-4 years experience specifically serving DV/SA survivors	Project has less than 1 year experience specifically serving DV/SA survivors	8/4/0	DV Bonus Supplemental Questions
Domestic Violence (specialized services) DV Bonus Projects Only	Project utilizes specialized services model specifically tailored to DV/SA survivors		Project does not utilize specialized services model specifically tailored to DV/SA survivors	8/0	DV Bonus Supplemental Questions
CoC Priorities					
Experience Administering HUD Grants or Similar Funding Sources	Agency has experience administering HUD grants or similar funding (within past 5 years)		Agency does not have experiencing administering HUD grants or similar funding (within past 5 years)	2/0	Supplemental Questions
Proposed project meets community needs	Applicant clearly describes how this proposed project addresses existing community needs		Applicant description of proposed project does not reflect community needs	2/0	Supplemental Questions

<p>NEW PSH, RRH, & Joint TH-RRH Projects: Connecting participants to mainstream health, social, and employment programs</p>	<p>The proposed project has a specific plan for ensuring program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream health, social, and employment programs, for which they are eligible to apply, that meet the needs of program participants (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce office, early childhood education).</p>	<p></p>	<p>The proposed project does not have a specific plan for ensuring participants are connected to mainstream health, social, and employment programs.</p>	<p>4/0</p>	<p>Project Application (ESNAPS)</p>
<p>Leveraging Housing Resources (PSH, RRH, Joint TH/RRH)</p>	<p>Applicant has a formal agreement (i.e. MOU, letter of commitment) in place with a PHA, private organization, State or local government, faith-based organization, or other Federal program (non-CoC or ESG) that demonstrates a commitment to utilize housing subsidies or subsidized housing units not funded by the CoC or ESG programs.</p>	<p>Applicant has informal agreement in place with a PHA, private organization, State or local government, faith-based organization, or other Federal program (non-CoC or ESG) that demonstrates a commitment to utilize housing subsidies or subsidized housing units not funded by the CoC or ESG programs.</p>	<p>Applicant does not have a formal/informal partnership commitment.</p>	<p>4/2/0</p>	<p>Supplemental Application</p>
<p>Leveraging Healthcare Resources (PSH, RRH, Joint TH/RRH)</p>	<p>Applicant has a formal agreement (i.e. MOU, letter of commitment) in place with a Healthcare Provider to utilize healthcare resources to help individuals and families served by the proposed project.</p>	<p>Applicant has informal agreement in place with a Healthcare Provider to utilize healthcare resources to help individuals and families served by the proposed project.</p>	<p>Applicant does not have a formal/informal partnership commitment with a Healthcare Provider</p>	<p>4/2/0</p>	<p>Supplemental Application</p>

Total Points Possible	PSH (Singles)	PSH (Families/Youth)	TH/RRH (Singles)	TH/RRH (Families/Youth)
Criteria Found in Application	9	9	7	7
Criteria Specific to Serving Youth, Families & Children	4	8	4	8
Coordinated Entry Participation	4	4	4	4
Grant Admin Performance	14	14	14	14
Advancing Equity	6	6	6	6
System Performance (Renewals Only)	28	28	28	28
TOTAL	65	69	63	67

Maximum Points Possible for Objective Criteria	61	65	59	63
Maximum Points Possible for System Performance Measures	28	28	28	28
Maximum Points Possible for Addressing Severe Barriers (Housing First; Services specific populations; Designated Chronic homeless services/units)	11	11	9	9
Maximum Points Possible for DV Bonus Projects using comparable database data	8	8	8	8